FOSDEM 2023 Partial Event Report

Philippe Ombredanne, AboutCode.org nexB Inc.

Philippe Ombredanne

- Project lead and maintainer for VulnerableCode, ScanCode and AboutCode
- Creator of Package URL, co-founder of SPDX, ClearlyDefined
- FOSS veteran, long time Google Summer of Code mentor
- Co-founder and CTO of nexB Inc., makers of DejaCode
- Weird facts and claims to fame
 - Signed off on the largest deletion of lines of code in the Linux kernel (but these were only comments)
 - Unrepentant code hoarder. Had 60,000+ GH forks
 now down only to 20K forks
- pombredanne@nexb.com irc:pombreda



FOSDEM in Brussels, Belgium

- All volunteers led
- Free as in beer and freedom!
 - Just walk in: no registration required (none possible either)
- 8,000 to 10,000 people on a university campus over a weekend
- Many events "on the fringe" before and after FOSDEM
- My trip report
 - The day before FOSDEM
 - Highlights of the Legal + Policy devroom and SBOM devroom
- Key takeaways



The day before

- Many "Fringe" events
- My workshop

FOSS license and security compliance tools developers and users workshop

- Morning: FOSS developers presented their plans
- Afternoon: Users exposed their requirements
- ▷ 60 registrations, about 55 attendees showed up



Represented tools

- AboutCode/ScanCode
- BANG Binary Analysis
- Eclipse Onioro Compliance tooling/toolchain
- Flict
- Hermine
- Opossum
- ORT
- Osselot and OSADL license obligations list
- REUSE
- SPDX tools for Python
- Trustsource
- Yeslscan



Key insights (1): Share the data!

- "I would like to have automation to avoid repeat work when re-running tools" "Let's avoid re-running scans, share them and reuse them instead"
- Everyone wants to share and reuse data from scans, and origin and license
 - Avoid redoing the scans
 - Avoid redoing the same review either inside my org or across orgs
- But "It is hard to overcome lawyers objections to share data such as license conclusions and curations"
- And how to trust the scans and curations? And deal with different policies and standards for conclusions and curations? (specifically about licensing)
- What is the motivation and ease for public data sharing?
- We should share raw scanners/tools outputs first
- We should fix upstream licensing issues, upstream

Key insights (2) Licensing != Security?

License and Vulnerability are like oil and vinegar

- Even if core process is code origin determination, constituents are not the same (yet)
 - License folks care less about Vulnerabilities
 - Security folks care less about licensing
- FOSS projects that cater to both should provide differentiated documentation for each audience
- Core tools are the same: users are different
- Expect a convergence of the two aspects more and more



Key insights (3) License Compatibility

Multiple projects try to solve license compatibility

- FLICT, OSADL, Hermine Oniro
- Automating license conflicts/compatibility checks is a real problem at scale
- Projects may work together and eventually some conventions will emerge on
- Key domains
 - Help legal understand/zoom in on key license concerns
 - What is the effect of multiple licenses?
 - How to surface license compatibility issues



Follow up collaboration opportunities?

- Collaborate: License conflicts/compatibility checking projects on data and standards
- Create: A live inventory of all the FOSS tools and their capabilities
- Share: Approaches to dependency detection/resolution/processing
- Define: Evolve a standard/schema for tools-to-tools technical scan data sharing
- DATA: Exchange data!



FOSDEM Proper

Description Too many devrooms to count!

- BSD
- Binary Tools
- Collaboration and Content Management
- Community
- Confidential Computing
- Containers
- Continuous Integration and Continuous Deployment
- DNS
- Declarative and Minimalistic Computing
- Distributions
- Embedded, Mobile and Automotive
- Emulator Development
- Energy
- Erlang, Elixir and Friends
- FOSS Educational Programming Languages
- FOSS on Mobile Devices
- Fast and Streaming Data
- Friends of OpenJDK

- Go
- Graph Systems and Algorithms
- HPC, Big Data and Data Science
- Haskell
- Image-based Linux and Secure Measured Boot
- JavaScript
- Kernel
- Kotlin
- LLVM
- Legal and Policy Issues
- LibreOffice Technology Development Platform
- MariaDB, MySQL and Friends
- Matrix
- Microkernel and Component-based OS
- Monitoring and Observability
- Mozilla
- Network
- Nix and NixOS

- Open Media
- Open Research Tools and Technology
- Open Source Design
- Open Source Firmware, BMC and Bootloader
- PostgreSQL
- Public Code and Digital Public Goods
- Python
- RISC-V
- Railways and Open Transport
- Real Time Communications
- Rust
- Security
- Software Bill of Materials
- Software Defined Storage
- Sovereign Cloud
- Test
- Testing and Automation
- Translations

neżß

The FOSDEM fun

- In person! And deliciously crowded and packed
- Running around from room to room
- Along the way, meet some great people, stop and chat
 - And never reach the place you had planned to go
- Beer and fries!
- ▷ Fringe events, before and after and parties.

Key devrooms: legal+policy

- On the legal + policy dev room: https://fosdem.org/2023/schedule/track/legal_and_policy_issues/
- IANAL and "unlicensed practice of law" https://fosdem.org/2023/schedule/event/foss_law/
- Dangers to FOSS of upcoming European legislation "CRA"



Key devrooms: SBOM

- https://fosdem.org/2023/schedule/track/software_bill_of_materials/
- Lots of SPDX, but not exclusively
- Many presentation on how tools can produce SBOMs
- Embedded build tools are integrating SBOMs (Yocto and Buildroot)
- Standardized SBOM formats are necessary but not sufficient
 - Accuracy, trust and being actionable are under heavy discussion
- Few tools and fewer team can/do consume SBOMs???
 - There may be supply/demand imbalance issue
- Large orgs are evolving their own processes and conventions
 - (e.g., Siemens BOM on CycloneDX)
- VEX may emerge as more important than SBOMs
- Package URL/PURL is mostly everywhere



Credits



- Presentation template by <u>SlidesCarnival</u> licensed under <u>CC-BY-4.0</u>
- Photograph by <u>Unsplash</u> licensed under <u>Unsplash License</u>
- Other content licensed under <u>CC-BY-4.0</u>